

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

					
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,622 09/08/2003		Michael Tod Morman	18178	9454	
22827	7590 01/04/2006		EXAMINER		
DORITY & MANNING, P.A. POST OFFICE BOX 1449 GREENVILLE, SC 29602-1449		TORRES VELAZQUEZ, NORCA LIZ			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1771		

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/657,622	MORMAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Norca L. Torres-Velazquez	1771	

•	Norca L. Torres-Velazquez	1771					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 01 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	affidavit, or other evidence with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	of will not be entered	hecause				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	OTE below);					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	• •	ejected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16,18-30,32</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a	Notice of Appeal will I	not be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	-						
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
13.	-M	Norca L. Torres-Ve Primary Examiner	elazquez				
		Art Unit: 1771					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Examiner has relied in the teachings of Kellenberger to provide motivation to have a pore size in the layer of fine fibers that is smaller to that of the absorbent particles of the absorbent layer (i.e. to minimize the effects of gel-blocking); it is further noted that such modification would have been obvious in order to contain the absorbent particles withing the absorbent structure are and to prevent that these reach the top layer (liquid pervious layer). The Examiner is not bodily incorporating the structure of Kellenberger et al. in the THOMAS structure as implied by Applicant's response